

PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 03-1722

ROSITA C. YOUNGBLOOD; PARENTS UNITED
FOR BETTER SCHOOLS, INC.; PENN-KNOX
NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION; BUDD HOUSE
INC; EDITH WEEKS, Reverend; EDWINA BAKER

v.

H. WILLIAM DEWEESE; MICHAEL VEON,

Appellants

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(D.C. Civil Action No. 02-cv-07683)
District Judge: Honorable J. Curtis Joyner

Argued October 29, 2003

Before: SCIRICA, Chief Judge, NYGAARD and AMBRO, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed December 18, 2003)

Andre' L. Dennis, Esquire
Danielle Banks, Esquire (Argued)
Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young
260 One Commerce Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Appellants

Anthony L. Cianfrani, Esquire (Argued)

Suite 1920
1500 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Appellees

ORDER AMENDING SLIP OPINION

AMBRO, *Circuit Judge*

It is now ordered that the published Opinion in the above case filed December 18, 2003, be amended as follows:

On page 8 of the slip opinion, three lines from the top of the page, replace the word “is” with the word “are” so that the phrase reads: “house members are “within the sphere ...”

On page 8 of the slip opinion, five lines from the bottom of the full paragraph, after the cite 528, replace the long dash (“—”) with a semi-colon, followed by a space (“; ”).

On page 8 of the slip opinion, in the last sentence of the full paragraph replace the word “is” with the word “are” so the sentence reads:

“Representatives DeWeese and Veon’s “deliberative and communicative processes” in the course of exercising that legislative authority are, therefore, privileged from judicial scrutiny.”

On page 9 of the slip opinion, in the first sentence under section V, the Conclusion, replace the words “is a” with “are” and add an “s” to the word “act” so that the sentence reads:

“We hold that Representatives DeWeese and Veon’s acts of allocating the General Assembly’s office-staffing appropriation among individual Representatives are legislative acts to which legislative immunity extends.”

By the Court,

/s/ Thomas L. Ambro, Circuit Judge

Dated: February 11, 2004